	Supreme Court of the United States
	Phillip 0 Briant V. Ellen L. Hollander, U.S. Judge Petitioner Respondent
	<u> </u>
	Case No:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MAR 0 4 2019
<u> </u>	Writ of Mandamus OFFICE OF MARYLAND DISTRICT OF MARYLAND DEPLOY
	The propriety of entertaining a petition for Writ
	of Mandamus in the federal system is, of course,
	Well defined. It may be invoked only where three
	elements co-exist: (1) the petitioner has shown
	a clear right to the relief sought; (2) the
	respondent has a clear duty to do the
	particular act requested by the petitioner, and
	(3) no other adequate remedy is avgilable.
	Green V. Heckler, 742 F. 2d 237, 241 (5th Cir.
	1984); Jones V. Alexander, 609 F, 2d 778, 781
	(5th Cir.) cert. denied, 449 U.S. 832, 101 S.C.
	100, 66 L.Ed. 2d 37 (1980).
	Questions Presented
	(1) Dues petitioner have a clear legal right
	to summary fudgement?